## CORRECTION Open Access




## Correction: A highly efficient protocol for isolation of protoplast from China, Assam and Cambod types of tea plants [Camellia sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze]

Abhishek Kumar<sup>1†</sup>, Nikhil Rawat<sup>1,2†</sup>, Shweta Thakur<sup>1</sup>, Rohit Joshi<sup>1,2</sup> and Shiv Shanker Pandey<sup>1,2\*</sup>

Correction: Plant Methods (2023) 19:147 https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-023-01120-z

In this article the wrong figure appeared as Fig. 8. Figure 8a and d are incorrectly placed (Fig. 8a and d have the same image) and it is given below,



Effect of different tea cultivars on protoplast isolation. (a) TV23 (b) Kangra Asha (c) Him Sphurti and (d) Upasi 9 (at 400x and bar = 20 µm)

<sup>†</sup>Abhishek Kumar and Nikhil Rawat contributed equally to this work.

The online version of the original article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1186/s13007-023-01120-z.

\*Correspondence:

Shiv Shanker Pandey

shivpandey@ihbt.res.in

<sup>1</sup>Biotechnology Division, Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR, Institute of Himalayan Bioresource Technology, Palampur 176061. India


<sup>2</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR), Ghaziabad 201002, India



© The Author(s) 2024. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <a href="http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/">http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/</a>. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (<a href="http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/">http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/</a>) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Kumar et al. Plant Methods (2024) 20:57 Page 2 of 2

The figure should have appeared as shown below.



**Fig. 8** Effect of different tea cultivars on protoplast isolation. (a) TV23 (b) Kangra Asha (c) Him Sphurti and (d) Upasi 9 (at 400x and bar =  $20 \mu m$ )

In this article the statement in the Funding information section was incorrectly given as "The Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India [MLP-0170 (FBR-Genome-Editing Network Project MLP-008)] financially supported this study." and should have read "The Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), India [MLP-0170 (FBR-Genome-Editing Network Project MLP-007)] financially supported this study."

In the Acknowledgements section, additional sentence has been included "This manuscript represents CSIR-IHBT communication number 5460."

The original article has been corrected. Published online: 24 April 2024

## **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.